

Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 7: Upward Planar Drawings



Part I: Characterization

Philipp Kindermann

Upward Planar Drawings – Motivation

- What may the direction of edges in a digraph represent?
 - Time
 - Flow
 - Hierarchy
- Would be nice to have general direction preserved in drawing.





Petri net



Aegilops

Triticum

Phylogenetic network





Upward Planar Drawings – Definition

A directed graph G = (V, E) is **upward planar** when it admits a drawing Γ that is

planar and

• where each edge is drawn as an upward, y-monotone curve.



Upward Planarity – Necessary Conditions

- For a digraph *G* to be upward planar, it has to be:
 - planar
 - acyclic
 - bimodal
- ... but these conditions are *not sufficient*.







bimodal vertex

not bimodal



Upward Planarity – Characterization

Theorem 1. [Kelly 1987, Di Battista & Tamassia 1988]For a digraph *G* the following statements are equivalent:1. *G* is upward planar.

- 2. *G* admits an upward planar straight-line drawing.
- 3. *G* is the spanning subgraph of a planar *st*-digraph.

Additionally: Embedded such that s and t are on the outerface f_0 .

or: Edge (s, t) exists.

no crossings

acyclic digraph with a single source *s* and single sink *t*



Upward Planarity – Characterization

Theorem 1. [Kelly 1987, Di Battista & Tamassia 1988]For a digraph *G* the following statements are equivalent:1. *G* is upward planar.

- 2. *G* admits an upward planar straight-line drawing.
- 3. *G* is the spanning subgraph of a planar *st*-digraph.

Proof.

(2) ⇒ (1) By definition. (1) ⇔ (3) Example:
(3) ⇒ (2) Triangulate & construct drawing:

Claim.Case 1:Can draw inchordprespecifiedtriangle.

Induction on *n*.







Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 7: Upward Planar Drawings



Part II: Complexity

Philipp Kindermann

Upward Planarity – Complexity

Theorem. [Garg & Tamassia, 1995] For a *planar acyclic* digraph it is in general NP-hard to decide whether it is upward planar.

Theorem.

[Bertolazzi et al., 1994]

For a *combinatorially embedded* planar digraph it can be tested in $O(n^2)$ time whether it is upward planar.

Corollary.

For a *triconnected* planar digraph it can be tested in $O(n^2)$ time whether it is upward planar.

Theorem.[Hutton & Lubiw, 1996]For a *single-source* acyclic digraph it can be tested in $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time whether it is upward planar.

The Problem

Fixed Embedding Upward Planarity Testing. Let G = (V, E) be a plane digraph with set of faces F and outer face f_0 . Test whether G is upward planar (wrt to F, f_0).

Idea.

- Find property that any upward planar drawing of *G* satisfies.
- Formalize property.
- Find algorithm to test property.

Angles, Local Sources & Sinks

Definitions.

- A vertex v is a local source wrt a face f if v has two outgoing edges on ∂f .
- A vertex v is a **local sink** wrt a face f if v has two incoming edges on ∂f .
- An angle α at a local source / sink is large when $\alpha > \pi$ and small otherwise.
- L(v) = # large angles at v
- L(f) = # large angles in f
- S(v) & S(f) for # small angles
- A(f) = # local sources wrt f
 - = # local sinks wrt f

Lemma 1. L(f) + S(f) = 2A(f)



Assignment Problem

- Vertex *v* is a global source.
- At which face does *v* have a **large** angle?





Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 7: Upward Planar Drawings



Part III: Angle Relations

Philipp Kindermann

Angle Relations

Lemma 2.

$$L(f) - S(f) = \begin{cases} -2, & f \neq f_0 \\ +2, & f = f_0 \end{cases}$$

Proof by induction.

$$L(f) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow S(f) = 2$$

$\blacksquare L(f) \ge 1$

Split *f* with edge from a large angle at a "low" sink *u* to
sink *v* with small angle:



$$-2 -2 -2$$

$$L(f) - S(f) = L(f_1) + L(f_2) + 1 - (S(f_1) + S(f_2) - 1) = -2$$

Angle Relations

Lemma 2.

$$L(f) - S(f) = \begin{cases} -2, & f \neq f_0 \\ +2, & f = f_0 \end{cases}$$

Proof by induction.

$$L(f) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow S(f) = 2$$

$\blacksquare L(f) \ge 1$

Split *f* with edge from a large angle at a "low" sink *u* to
source *v* with small/large angle:



$$-2 -2 -2$$

$$L(f) - S(f) = L(f_1) + L(f_2) + 2 - (S(f_1) + S(f_2)) = -2$$

Angle Relations

Lemma 2.

$$L(f) - S(f) = \begin{cases} -2, & f \neq f_0 \\ +2, & f = f_0 \end{cases}$$

Proof by induction.

$$L(f) = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow S(f) = 2$$

$\blacksquare L(f) \ge 1$

Split f with edge from a large angle at a "low" sink u to

vertex v that is neither source nor sink:



$$-2 -2 -2$$

$$L(f) - S(f) = L(f_1) + L(f_2) + 1 - (S(f_1) + S(f_2) - 1) = -2$$

Otherwise "high" source u exists.

Number of Large Angles

Lemma 3. In every upward planar drawing of *G* holds that for each vertex $v \in V$: $L(v) = \begin{cases} 0 & v \text{ inner vertex,} \\ 1 & v \text{ source } / \text{ sink;} \end{cases}$ for each face $f: L(f) = \begin{cases} A(f) - 1 & f \neq f_0, \\ A(f) + 1 & f = f_0. \end{cases}$

Proof. Lemma 1: L(f) + S(f) = 2A(f)Lemma 2: $L(f) - S(f) = \pm 2$. $\Rightarrow 2L(f) = 2A(f) \pm 2$.



Assignment of Large Angles to Faces

Let *S* and *T* be the sets of sources and sinks, respectively.

Definition. A **consistent assignment** Φ : $S \cup T \rightarrow F$ is a mapping where Φ : $v \mapsto$ incident face, where v forms large angle such that

$$|\Phi^{-1}(f)| = L(f) = \begin{cases} A(f) - 1 & \text{if } f \neq f_0, \\ A(f) + 1 & \text{if } f = f_0. \end{cases}$$

Example of Angle to Face Assignment



Finding a Consistent Assignment

Idea.

Flow (v, f) = 1 from global source / sink v to the incident face f its large angle gets assigned to.

Flow network. $N_{F,f_0}(G) = ((W, E'); b; \ell; u)$ $W = \{v \in V \mid v \text{ source or sink}\} \cup F$ $E' = \{(v, f) \mid v \text{ incident to } f\} \longrightarrow$ $\bullet \ \ell(e) = 0 \ \forall e \in E'$ $\blacksquare u(e) = 1 \ \forall e \in E'$ $b(w) = \begin{cases} 1 & \forall w \in W \cap V \\ -(A(w) - 1) & \forall w \in F \setminus \{f_0\} \\ -(A(w) + 1) & w = f_0 \end{cases}$





Visualization of Graphs

Lecture 7: Upward Planar Drawings



Part IV: Testing Algorithm

Philipp Kindermann

Result Characterization

Theorem 3. Let G = (V, E) be an acyclic plane digraph with embedding given by F, f_0 . Then G is upward planar (respecting F, f_0) if and only if G is bimodal and there exists consistent assignment Φ .

Proof.

- \Rightarrow : As constructed before.
- ⇐: Idea:
- Construct planar st-digraph that is supergraph of *G*.
- Apply equivalence from Theorem 1.

Theorem 1.[Kelly 1987, Di Battista & Tamassia 1988][...] G is upward planar \Leftrightarrow G is the spanning subgraph of a planar *st*-digraph.

Refinement Algorithm – Φ , *F*, $f_0 \rightarrow$ st-digraph

Let *f* be a face. Consider the clockwise angle sequence σ_f of *L/S* on local sources and sinks of *f*.

- Goal: Add edges to break large angles (sources and sinks).
- For $f \neq f_0$ with $|\sigma_f| \ge 2$ containing $\langle L, S, S \rangle$ at vertices x, y, z:
- $x \text{ source} \Rightarrow \text{insert edge } (z, x)$



Refinement Algorithm – Φ , *F*, $f_0 \rightarrow$ st-digraph

Let *f* be a face. Consider the clockwise angle sequence σ_f of *L/S* on local sources and sinks of *f*.

- Goal: Add edges to break large angles (sources and sinks).
- For $f \neq f_0$ with $|\sigma_f| \ge 2$ containing $\langle L, S, S \rangle$ at vertices x, y, z:
- $x \text{ source} \Rightarrow \text{insert edge } (z, x)$
- $x \operatorname{sink} \Rightarrow \operatorname{insert} \operatorname{edge} (x, z).$



Refinement Algorithm – Φ , *F*, $f_0 \rightarrow$ st-digraph

Let *f* be a face. Consider the clockwise angle sequence σ_f of *L/S* on local sources and sinks of *f*.

- Goal: Add edges to break large angles (sources and sinks).
- For $f \neq f_0$ with $|\sigma_f| \ge 2$ containing $\langle L, S, S \rangle$ at vertices x, y, z:
- $x \text{ source} \Rightarrow \text{insert edge } (z, x)$
- $x \operatorname{sink} \Rightarrow \operatorname{insert} \operatorname{edge} (x, z).$
- Refine outer face f_0 .



Refine all faces. \Rightarrow G is contained in a planar st-digraph.
 Planarity, acyclicity, bimodality are invariants under construction.

Refinement Example



Refinement Example



Refinement Example



Result Upward Planarity Test

Theorem 2. [Bertolazzi et al., 1994] For a *combinatorially embedded* planar digraph *G* it can be tested in $O(n^2)$ time whether it is upward planar.

Proof.

- Test for bimodality.
- Test for a consistent assignment Φ (via flow network).
- If *G* bimodal and Φ exists, refine *G* to plane st-digraph *H*.
- Draw *H* upward planar.
- Deleted edges added in refinement step.

Discussion

- There exist fixed-parameter tractable algorithms to test upward planarity of general digraphs with the parameter being the number of triconnected components. [Healy, Lynch 2005, Didimo et al. 2009]
- Finding assignment in Theorem 2 can be sped up to $O(n + r^{1.5})$ where r = # sources / sinks. [Abbasi, Healy, Rextin 2010]
- Many related concepts have been studied: quasi-planarity, upward drawings of mixed graphs, upward planarity on cylinder/torus, ...