

Visualization of Graphs Lecture 4: Straight-Line Drawings of Planar Graphs I: Canonical Ordering and Shift Method Philipp Kindermann Part I: Ecture 4:

Straight-Line Drawings of Planar

Canonical Ordering and Shift N

Part I:

Planar Straight-Line Drawings

Motivation

[Bennett, Ryall, Spaltzeholz and Gooch '07] Why planar and straight-line?
[Bennett, Ryall, Spaltzeholz and Gooch
The Aesthetics of Graph Visualization

3.2. Edge Placement Heuristics

By far the most agreed-upon edge placement heuristic is to *minimize the number of edge crossings* in a graph [BMRW98, Har98, DH96, Pur02, TR05, TBB88]. The importance of avoiding edge crossings has also been extensively validated in terms of user preference and performance (see Section 4). Similarly, based on perceptual principles, it is beneficial to *minimize the number of edge bends* within a graph [Pur02, TR05, TBB88]. Edge bends make edges more difficult to follow because an edge with a sharp bend is more likely to be perceived as two separate objects. This leads to the heuristic of keeping edge bends uniform with respect to the bend's position on the edge and its angle [TR05]. If an edge must be bent to satisfy other aesthetic criteria, the angle of the bend should be as little as possible, and the bend placement should evenly divide the edge.

Drawing conventions

- No crossings \Rightarrow planar
- No bends \Rightarrow straight-line

Drawing aestethics Area

Planar Graphs

Theorem. [Kuratowski 1930] *G* planar ⇔ neither *K*⁵ nor *K*3,3 minor of *G*

Characterization

For a graph *G* with *n* vertices, there is an $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time algorithm **Theorem.** [Hopcroft & Tarjan 1974]
For a graph *G* with *n* vertices, there is an $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time algorithm
to test whether *G* is planar.

Also computes an embedding in $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

Every planar graph has a planar drawing where the edges are T**heorem.** [Wagner 1936, Fáry 1948, Stein 1951]
Every planar graph has a planar drawing where the edges are
straight-line segments. **Recognition**

Drawing

Triangulations with planar embedding

A **plane (inner) triangulation** is a plane graph where every (inner) face is a triangle.

A **maximal planar graph** is a planar graph where adding any edge would destroy planarity.

Observation.

A maximal plane graph is a plane triangulation.

Lemma.

A plane triangulation is at least 3-connected and thus has a unique **Example 18 Constanting Consta**

3

4

Lemma.

1

2

5

Every plane graph is subgraph of a plane triangulation.

Corollary.

Tutte's algorithm creates a planar straight-line drawing for every planar graph. (but with exponential area)

Planar Straight-Line Drawings

Theorem. [De Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack '90] Every *n*-vertex planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing of size $(2n - 4) \times (n - 2)$.

Idea.

Theorem. [Schnyder '90] Every *n*-vertex planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing of size $(n-2) \times (n-2)$.

Hubert de Fraysseix *Paris, France

János Pach *1954, Budapest, Hungary

Richard Pollack *1935, New York, USA †2018, Montclair, USA

Visualization of Graphs Lecture 4: Straight-Line Drawings of Planar Graphs I: Canonical Ordering and Shift Method ⁺¹ E²
Canonical Ordering and S
Canonical Ordering and S
Part II:
Canonical Order

Part II:

Philipp Kindermann

Canonical Order – Definition

Definition.

- Let $G = (V, E)$ be a triangulated plane graph on $n \geq 3$ vertices. An order $\pi = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$ is called a canonical order, if the following conditions hold for each $k, 3 \leq k \leq n$:
- (C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .
- (C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .
- (C3) If $k < n$ then vertex v_{k+1} lies in the outer face of G_k , and all neighbors of v_{k+1} in G_k appear on the boundary of G_k Vertices $\{v_1, ..., v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally
triangulated graph; call it G_k .
Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .
If $k < n$ then vertex v_{k+1} lies in the outer face of G_k , and all
neighbors o

- (C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .
- (C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .
- (C3) If $k < n$ then vertex v_{k+1} lies in the outer face of G_k , and all neighbors of v_{k+1} in G_k appear on the boundary of *G^k* consecutively.

(C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .

(C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .

*v*2

(C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .

(C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .

*v*2

(C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .

(C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .

*v*2

(C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .

(C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .

*v*2

- (C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .
- (C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .

*v*2

(C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .

- (C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .
- (C3) If $k < n$ then vertex v_{k+1} lies in the outer face of G_k , and all neighbors of v_{k+1} in G_k appear on the boundary of *G^k* consecutively.

chord edge joining two nonadjacent vertices in a cycle

*v*2

- (C1) Vertices $\{v_1, \ldots v_k\}$ induce a biconnected internally triangulated graph; call it *G^k* .
- (C2) Edge (v_1, v_2) belongs to the outer face of G_k .
- (C3) If $k < n$ then vertex v_{k+1} lies in the outer face of G_k , and all neighbors of v_{k+1} in G_k appear on the boundary of *G^k* consecutively.

Visualization of Graphs Lecture 4: Straight-Line Drawings of Planar Graphs I: Canonical Ordering and Shift Method Ecture 4:

Straight-Line Drawings of Planar

Canonical Ordering and Shift

Part III:

Canonical Order – Existence

Part III:

Philipp Kindermann

Canonical Order – Existence

Lemma.

Every triangulated plane graph has a canonical order.

Base Case:

Let $G_n = G$, and let v_1, v_2, v_n be the vertices of the outer face of G_n . Conditions $(C1) - (C3)$ hold.

Induction hypothesis:

Vertices *vn*−1, . . . , *vk*+¹ have been chosen such that conditions $(C1) - (C3)$ hold for $k + 1 \leq i \leq n$.

Induction step: Consider *G^k* . We search for *v^k* .

 (C_1) G_k biconnected and internally triangulated

(C2) (v_1, v_2) on outer face of G_k

(C3) $k < n \Rightarrow v_{k+1}$ in outer face of G_k , neighbors of *vk*+¹ in *G^k* consecutive on boundary

Have to show:

Canonical Order – Existence

Claim 1.

If *v^k* is not adjacent to a chord, then *Gk*−¹ is biconnected.

Claim 2.

There exists a vertex in G_k that is not adjacent to a chord as choice for *v^k* .

Canonical Order – Implementation

 $\text{CanonicalOrder}(G = (V, E), (v_1, v_2, v_n))$ **forall** $v \in V$ **do** \vert chords(*v*) \leftarrow 0; out(*v*) \leftarrow false; mark(*v*) \leftarrow false $mark(v_1)$, mark(*v*₂), out(*v*₁), out(*v*₂), out(*v*_{*n*}) \leftarrow true **for** $k = n$ **to** 3 **do** choose *v* such that mark(*v*) = false, out(*v*) = true, and chords $(v) = 0$ $v_k \leftarrow v$; mark $(v) \leftarrow$ true *// Let w*¹ = *v*1, *w*2, . . . , *wt*−1, *w^t* = *v*² *denote the boundary of Gk*−¹ *in Gk*−¹ *and let wp*, . . . , *w^q be the neighbors of v^k* $\text{out}(w_i) \leftarrow \text{true} \text{ for all } p < i < q$ update number of chords for *wⁱ* and its neighbours outer face adjacent to v
 v_1, v_2, v_n))
 l out (v) = true is
 $v_1(v_2)$, out (v_n) \leftarrow true
 $v_2(v_1)$ \leftarrow true
 $v_3(v_2)$ \leftarrow true
 $v_4 = v_2$ denote the
 $v_5(v_1) = v_2$ denote the
 $v_5(v_2) = v_3$
 $v_6(v_1) = v_4$
 v

- chord (v) : # chords adjacent to *v*
- \Box out(*v*) = true iff *v* is currently outer vertex
- **mark** (v) = true iff *v* has received its number

Lemma.

Algorithm CanonicalOrder computes a canonical order of a plane graph in $\mathcal{O}(n)$

13

Visualization of Graphs Lecture 4: Straight-Line Drawings of Planar Graphs I: Canonical Ordering and Shift Method ⁺¹ Example 1:
Straight-Line Drawings of 1
Canonical Ordering and
Part IV:
Shift Method

Part IV:

Philipp Kindermann

Shift Method – Idea

Drawing invariants:

- *Gk*−¹ is drawn such that
- *is on* $(0, 0)$ *,* $v₂$ *is on* $(2k 6, 0)$ *,*
- boundary of *Gk*−¹ (minus edge (*v*1, *v*²)) is drawn *x*-monotone,

 $v₁$

■ each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1 .

Shift Method – Idea

Drawing invariants:

- *Gk*−¹ is drawn such that
- *is on* $(0, 0)$ *,* $v₂$ *is on* $(2k 6, 0)$ *,*

 U_1

 $(0, 0)$

- boundary of *Gk*−¹ (minus edge (*v*1, *v*²)) is drawn *x*-monotone,
- each edge of the boundary of G_{k-1} (minus edge (v_1, v_2)) is drawn with slopes ± 1 .

w^p

 $72₁$)

Gk−¹

w^q

vk

yes, beause *w^p* and *w^q* have even Manhattan distance

 \overline{v}_2 $(2k - 4, 0)$

Shift Method – Example

Shift Method – Example

Shift Method – Example

15 - 28

Shift Method – Planarity

Observations.

- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once.
- Covering relation defines a tree in *G*
- and a forest in G_i , $1 \le i \le n-1$.

Lemma. Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\delta_q - \delta_p \geq 2$ and even.

Shift Method – Planarity

Observations.

- Each internal vertex is covered exactly once.
- Covering relation defines a tree in *G*
- and a forest in G_i , $1 \le i \le n-1$.

Lemma.

Let $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta_2 \leq \cdots \leq \delta_t \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $\delta_q - \delta_p \geq 2$ and even. If we shift $L(w_i)$ by δ_i to the right, then we get a planar straight-line drawing.

Proof by induction: If *Gk*−¹ is drawn planar and straight-line, then so is G_k .

17

Visualization of Graphs Lecture 4: Straight-Line Drawings of Planar Graphs I: Canonical Ordering and Shift Method ⁺¹ Example 1:

Straight-Line Drawings of

Canonical Ordering and

Part V:

Linear Time

Part V:

Philipp Kindermann

Shift Method – Pseudocode

Let v_1, \ldots, v_n be a canonical order of G **for** $i = 1$ to 3 **do** $L(v_i) \leftarrow \{v_i\}$ \sum $P(v_1) \leftarrow (0, 0); P(v_2) \leftarrow (2, 0), P(v_3) \leftarrow (1, 1)$ **for** $i = 4$ to *n* **do** Let $w_1 = v_1$, w_2 , ..., w_{t-1} , $w_t = v_2$ denote the boundary of *Gi*−¹) *in total ^w*¹ and let *wp*, . . . , *wq* be the neighbours of *vⁱ* $\mathbf{for}\;\forall v\in\cup_{j=p+1}^{q-1}L(w_j)\;\mathbf{do}$ *//* O(*n* 2) *in total* $x(v) \leftarrow x(v) + 1$ 2 for $\forall v \in \cup_{j=q}^t L(w_j)$ do $x(v) \leftarrow x(v) + 2$ *P*(*vⁱ*) ← intersection of +1/−1 diagonals through $P(w_p)$ and $P(w_q)$ $L(v_i)$ ← ∪ $\bigcup_{i=p}^{q-1}$ *y*⁻¹</sup> $j=p+1$ $L(w_j)$ ∪ $\{v_i\}$

Running Time?

Shift Method – Linear Time Implementation

Idea 1.

To compute $x(v_k)$ & $y(v_k)$, we only need $y(w_p)$ and $y(w_q)$ and $x(w_q) - x(w_p)$

Idea 2.

Instead of storing explicit x-coordinates,

(1)
$$
x(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) + x(w_p) + y(w_q) - y(w_p))
$$

\n(2) $y(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) - x(w_p) + y(w_q) + y(w_p))$

Shift Method – Linear Time Implementation

Idea 1.

To compute $x(v_k)$ & $y(v_k)$, we only need $y(w_p)$ and $y(w_q)$ and $x(w_q) - x(w_p)$

Idea 2.

Instead of storing explicit x-coordinates,

After x distance for v_n computed, use preorder traversal to compute all x-coordinates.

(1)
$$
x(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) + x(w_p) + y(w_q) - y(w_p))
$$

\n(2) $y(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) - x(w_p) + y(w_q) + y(w_p))$
\n(3) $x(v_k) - x(w_p) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) - x(w_p) + y(w_q) - y(w_p))$

Shift Method – Linear Time Implementation

Relative x-distance tree.

For each vertex *v* store

■ x-offset $\Delta_x(v)$ from parent ■ y-coordinate $y(v)$ *w*_{*p*+1}

Calculations.

$$
\Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \Delta_x(w_q) + \Delta_x(w_q) + \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \ldots + \Delta_x(w_q)
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_x(w_p, w_q) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) + \ldots + \Delta_x(w_q)
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_x(v_k)
$$
 by (3)
$$
y(v_k)
$$
 by (2)\n
$$
\Delta_x(w_q) = \Delta_x(w_p, w_q) - \Delta_x(v_k)
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_x(w_{p+1}) = \Delta_x(w_{p+1}) - \Delta_x(v_k)
$$

$$
w_1
$$

\n
$$
w_2
$$

\n
$$
w_3
$$

\n
$$
w_4
$$

\n
$$
w_5
$$

\n
$$
w_6
$$

\n
$$
w_7
$$

\n
$$
w_8
$$

\n
$$
w_7
$$

\n
$$
w_8
$$

\n
$$
w_{t-1}
$$

\n
$$
w_{t-1}
$$

\n
$$
w_{t-1}
$$

\n
$$
w_{t-1}
$$

 $\sim \mathcal{O}(n)$ in total

(1)
$$
x(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) + x(w_p) + y(w_q) - y(w_p))
$$

\n(2) $y(v_k) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) - x(w_p) + y(w_q) + y(w_p))$
\n(3) $x(v_k) - x(w_p) = \frac{1}{2}(x(w_q) - x(w_p) + y(w_q) - y(w_p))$

Result & Variations

Theorem. [De Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack '90] Every *n*-vertex planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing of size $(2n-4) \times (n-2)$. Such a drawing can be computed in $O(n)$ time.

Theorem. [Chrobak & Kant '97] Every *n*-vertex 3-connected planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing of size $(n-2) \times (n-2)$ where all faces are drawn convex. Such a drawing can be computed in *O*(*n*) time.

Theorem. [Brandenburg '08] Every *n*-vertex planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing of size $\overline{4}$ $\frac{4}{3}n \times \frac{2}{3}n$. Such a drawing can be computed in $O(n)$ time.